

Key Data: Marijuana Prevention Points of Consideration October 2015

The Marijuana Prevention Initiative (MPI) works with partners in each of San Diego County's six regions to reduce youth marijuana use and increase knowledge of its harmful effects. This document provides selected county, state, and national data regarding youth marijuana use and related health and community impacts. These data points provide relevant marijuana use/perception statistics to help inform marijuana prevention efforts currently underway across San Diego County. It is important to note that data trends can be impacted by a number of variables (e.g., cultural, fiscal, and local factors).

San Diego County Data

Youth Marijuana Use

- ➤ One in four 9th graders and 37% of 11th graders reported they had used marijuana sometime in their life (CHKS, 2013).
- Current marijuana use (i.e., used sometime in the past 30 days) was reported by 13% of 9th graders and 18% of 11th graders (CHKS, 2013).
- Marijuana use among 7th graders has remained fairly consistent since 1999, ranging between 7% and 10% for lifetime use, and between 4% and 6% for past 30 day use (CHKS, 1999-2013).
- Among San Diego Unified School District students, 14% of 9th graders and 19% of 11th graders reported current marijuana use, and 7% of 9th graders and 6% of 11th graders reported that they have used it on school property in the past 30 days (CHKS, 2013).
- Nearly 9% of high school students in the San Diego Unified School District reported trying marijuana for the first time before they were 13 years-old (CDC, 2014).

Access to Marijuana and Perception of Harm

- ➤ High school students in San Diego County (9th and 11th graders) perceive occasional marijuana smoking as less harmful than occasional cigarette smoking (CHKS, 2013).
- Approximately <u>1 in 3 students</u> (7th, 9th, and 11th graders) <u>do not believe</u> that using marijuana 1-2 times a week is harmful (CHKS, 2013).
- Approximately <u>1 in 3 adults</u> in San Diego County (33%) <u>do not believe</u> that smoking marijuana daily or weekly is harmful to one's health (CCR, Community Survey, 2014).
- ➤ Just over half (51%) of 9th graders and 68% of 11th graders in San Diego County reported that marijuana is "very" or "fairly" easy" to get (CHKS, 2013).
- Among adult San Diego County residents surveyed, 31% perceived recreational marijuana use as a problem in their community (CCR, Community Survey, 2014).





Treatment Admissions Data

- Marijuana/hashish is overwhelmingly the drug of choice for adolescents (12-17) admitted into drug treatment programs, accounting for nearly 3/4 (74%) of adolescent admissions in FY 2013/14 (HHSA ADS SanWITS, 2014).
- Marijuana was the primary drug of choice for 81% of males (12-17) admitted into treatment programs in FY 2013/14 (HHSA ADS SanWITS, 2014).

National Data: Youth Marijuana Use and Implications

Trends

- Perception of harm from regular marijuana use among high school graduates, ages 19-22, has declined to 35% in 2014 from 55% in 2006 (Johnston et al., 2015).
- ➤ 12th graders who live in states where medical marijuana is legal report consuming more marijuana edible products (40%) than their peers who live in non-medical marijuana states (26%) (Johnston, et al., 2015).
- Among high school students who had used marijuana at least once in their lifetime, 23% reported using e-cigarettes to vaporize dried cannabis leaves; 15% reported using e-cigarettes to vaporize hash oil; and, 10% reported using e-cigarettes to vaporize "wax" (a high-potency marijuana product) (Morean, et al., 2015).



- Between 1985 and 2013, the potency of federally-seized and tested (non-domestic) marijuana has increased by 260% from 3.5% to 12.5%, which may contribute to higher rates of youth addiction (University of Mississippi, 2014; 2010).
- Marijuana use among adults ages 18 and older has more than doubled since 2001, and nearly 7 million adult marijuana users were diagnosed with a marijuana use disorder in 2012/13. Of note, young adults were at highest risk for marijuana use disorder (Hasin et al., 2015).

Academic Achievement

- Among adolescents, marijuana use is associated with attention and memory problems, slower brain processing, and difficulty with problem-solving all of which may affect academic performance (Medina, et al., 2007).
- Heavy marijuana use is associated with higher rates of skipping class, lower GPAs, and failure to complete college (Arria, et al., 2013; Hunt, et al., 2010).
- Adolescents who have smoked marijuana more than 100 times are less likely to enter college or earn a college degree and are more likely to drop out of college than their peers who have not (Fergusson, et al., 2003).
- Middle and high school students (ages 12-17) with an average grade of "D" or lower reported significantly higher rates of current marijuana use in the past month compared to those with an average grade of "C" or higher (SAMHSA, 2009).
- Young adults (ages 18-23) who did not complete high school reported significantly higher rates of current marijuana use than those who completed high school (SAMHSA, 2009).





Impact on the Developing Brain

- > Smoking marijuana is significantly associated with the onset of psychotic disorders, particularly schizophrenia (Large et al., 2011; Moore et al., 2007; Semple et al., 2005).
- Children and adolescents can become addicted to marijuana more often and more rapidly than adults because their brains are still developing (CSAM, 2012).
- The combination of marijuana and alcohol is more addictive in adolescents than in adults (Muoio, 2012).

Drugged Driving Across California and the United States

- In 2013, 63% of fatally injured drivers in the U.S. were tested for drugs, and **more than one-third (35%) tested positive for marijuana** (GNHA, 2015).
- Nationally, marijuana is by far the drug most commonly found in both randomly tested drivers and fatally-injured drivers (GNHA, 2015).
- Of approximately 9,500 drivers who participated in the 2013-14 National Roadside Survey, nearly 13% tested positive for marijuana, up from 8.6 percent in 2007 (NHTSA, 2015).
- Drugs play an increasingly prevalent role in fatal crashes. In a study of 23,500 drivers from six different states, drugged driving accounted for more than 28 percent of traffic deaths in 2010, up from more than 16 percent in 1999. → Marijuana was the main drug involved in the increase, contributing to 12 percent of 2010 crashes compared with 4 percent in 1999 (Brady and Li, 2014).
- Only 11% of people who tested positive for THC (the psychoactive ingredient in marijuana) in the California Roadside Survey believe that driving under the influence of marijuana is harmful (OTS, 2012).
- Among CA counties, cases involving driving under the influence of marijuana are more likely to settle, not be charged, or be dismissed than those involving alcohol (Tashima and Hanson, 2011).

Driving Under the Combined Influence of Alcohol and Marijuana

- ➤ Using alcohol and marijuana together significantly increases impairment levels and produces much higher blood concentrations of THC than does marijuana use alone (Hartman, et al., 2015; Ramaekers, et al., 2000).
- Youth who reported positive views about marijuana when they were in sixth grade were 63% more likely to drive under the influence or ride in a car with an impaired driver when they were in high school than their peers who had reported less positive views (Ewing et al., 2015).
- > The risk of a fatal crash to a driver under the influence of alcohol is 13 times higher than the risk of the driver who is not under the influence of alcohol. For the driver who is under the influence of both alcohol and marijuana, their risk increases to 24 times that of a sober person (Brady and Li, 2014).





- California drivers are as likely to test positive for THC as alcohol, and approximately 25% of persons testing positive for THC also tested positive for alcohol or another drug (OTS, 2012).
- > Severe marijuana-induced driving impairment is observed with high doses, chronic use and in combination with low doses of alcohol (Couper and Logan, 2004).

Implications of Drugged Driving

- Marijuana impairs psychomotor skills and cognitive functions associated with driving (Compton and Berning, 2015; Hartman and Huestis, 2013; Kelly-Baker, 2014). Driving under the influence of THC is associated with:
 - Decreased car handling performance
 - Delayed reaction times
 - Driving more slowly to compensate for being high
- Impaired coordination
- Impaired perception of time and distance
- Weaving in and out of one's lane



For more information and resources, visit our MPI website at www.mpisdcounty.net

Data Sources

Arria, A. M., et al. (2013). Dispelling the myth of "smart drugs": Cannabis and alcohol use problems predict nonmedical use of prescription stimulants for studying. Addictive Behaviors, 38(3), 1643–1650.

Berning, A., Compton, R., and Wochinger, K. (2015). Results of the 2013–2014 national roadside survey of alcohol and drug use by drivers. *Traffic Safety Facts Research Note*. DOT HS 812 118. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Brady, J.E. & Guohua L. (2014). Trends in alcohol and other drugs detected in fatally injured drivers in the United States, 1999–2010. American Journal of Epidemiology.

California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS) (2012–13). Main report. San Francisco: WestEd Health & Human Development Program for the California, Department of Education.

California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS) (1999–11). Main reports. San Francisco: WestEd Health & Human Development Program for the California, Department of Education.

California Outcomes Measurement System (HHSA ADS SanWITS). (2014). Alcohol and drug services databooks: FYs 2009/10 – 2013/14. Personal Communication with ADS.

California Society of Addictive Medicine (CSAM). (2012). Impact of marijuana on children and adolescents: Evidence-based information on cannabis/marijuana. Available at: http://www.csam-asam.org/sites/default/files/impact_of_marijuana_on_children_and_adolescents.pdf

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2014). Youth risk behavior surveillance system (YRBSS), San Diego, CA: 2013 Results. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/yrbs/index.htm

Center for Applied Research Solutions (CARS). (2010). Indicators of alcohol and other drug risk and consequences for California counties: San Diego County. Available at: http://www.cacpi.org/docs/County_Data_Files/San_Diego_10.pdf





Center for Community Research (CCR). 2014 Community Survey. Analysis prepared for and funded by the County of San Diego, HHSA, Behavioral Health Services, May 2014.

Compton, R. and Berning, A. (2015). Drug and alcohol crash risk. (Traffic Safety Facts Research Note. DOT HS 812 117). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Couper, F. J., & Logan, B. K. (2004). Drugs and human performance fact sheets. (DOT HS 809725). Washington, D.C: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Ewing, B.A., et al. (2015) Early substance use and subsequent DUI in adolescents. *Pediatrics*, 136 (5). Available at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/early/2015/09/29/peds.2015-1143.full.pdf+html

Fergusson, D. M., Horwood, L.J. & Beautrais, A.L. (2003). Cannabis and educational achievement. *Addiction*, 98 (12), 1681–1692.

Governors National Highway Association (GNHA). (2015). Drug-impaired driving: A guide for what states can do. Prepared for the Foundation for Advancing Alcohol Responsibility. Available at: http://www.qhsa.org/html/publications/2015drugaed.html

Hartman, R.L., et al. (2015). Controlled cannabis vaporizer administration: Blood and plasma cannabinoids with and without alcohol. *Clinical Chemistry*, 61, 850-869.

Hartman, R. L. and Huestis, M. A. (2013). Cannabis effects on driving skills. Clinical Chemistry 59(3), 478–492.

Hasin, D.S., Saha, T.D., Kerridge, B.T., et al. (2015). Prevalence of marijuana use disorders in the United States between 2001-2002 and 2012-2013. JAMA Psychiatry. Published online October 21, 2015. Available at: http://archpsyc.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=2464591

Hunt, J., Eisenberg, D., & Kilbourne, A. (2010). Consequences of receipt of a psychiatric diagnosis for completion of college. *Psychiatric Services*, 61(4), 399–404. Available at: http://ps.psychiatryonline.org/data/Journals/PSS/3907/10ps399.pdf

Johnston, L. D., et al. (2015). "Monitoring the Future," national survey results on drug use: 1975-2014: Overview, key findings on adolescent drug use. Ann Arbor: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan. Available at: http://www.monitoringthefuture.org/pubs/monographs/mtf-overview2014.pdf

Kelley-Baker, T. (2014). Marijuana and Driving Performance. Presentation at TRB Alcohol, Other Drugs and Transportation Committee 2014 Midyear Meeting.

Lacey, J.H., et al. (2009). 2007 national roadside survey of alcohol and drug use by driver: Drug results (DOT HS 811-249). Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Large, M., et al. (2011). Cannabis use and earlier onset of psychosis: A systematic meta-analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 68(6), 555–561. Available at: http://archpsyc.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=211301

Medina, K.L., et al. (2007). Neuropsychological functioning in adolescent marijuana users: Subtle deficits detectable after a month of abstinence. *J. of the International Neuropsychological Society, 13*(5), 807–820. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2269704

Moore, T. H., et al. (2007). Cannabis use and risk of psychotic or affective mental health outcomes: A systematic review. Lancet, 370 (9584), 319–328. Available at: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17662880

Morean, M.E., et al. (2015). High school students' use of electronic cigarettes to vaporize cannabis. *Pediatrics*, 136(4). Available at: pediatrics.aappublications.org.





Muoio, D. (2012). Teenage marijuana use causes mental deficit. The Duke Chronicle. Retrieved March 02, 2013, from http://www.dukechronicle.com/articles/2012/08/30/teenage-marijuana-use-causes-mental-deficit

National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). (2009). Quarterly report monitoring project report 104, December 16, 2008 thru March 15, 2009. Available at: http://medicalmarijuana.procon.org/sourcefiles/UMPMC-quarterly-monitoring-report.pdf

Office of Traffic Safety (OTS). (2012). Survey of California drivers shows 14% testing positive for drugs. Press Release, November 19, 2012. Retrieved March 02, 2013, from:

http://www.nbcsandiego.com/news/local/More-Calif-Drivers-Test-Positive-for-Drugs-Than-Alcohol-Survey-180064931.html

Ramaekers, J.G., Robbe, H.W.J, and O'Hanlon, J.F. (2000). Marijuana, alcohol and actual driving performance. *Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental*, 15, 551-558.

SAMHSA, National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH), Marijuana use and perceived risk of use among adolescents: 2002-2007. Available at: http://www.samhsa.gov/data/nsduh/2k7nsduh/2k7Results.htm

Semple, D. M. et al. (2005). Cannabis as a risk factor for psychosis: Systematic review. J. Psychopharmacol, 19(2), 187–194.

Tashima, H. & Masten, S.V. (2011). An evaluation of factors associated with variation in DUI conviction rates among California Counties. Prepared for California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2011. Available at: http://apps.dmv.ca.gov/about/profile/rd/r_d_report/Section_3/S3-235.pdf

University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research, Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences. (2014). Quarterly Report #124, Potency Monitoring Program (March 21, 2014).

University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research, Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences. (2010). Quarterly Report #107, Potency Monitoring Program (January 12, 2010).

