

Applying an Equity Lens to a Coalition-Based Prevention Evaluation

Jordan Newburg, PhDC, Konul Karimova, PhDC, Heather Terral, PhD,
Gitanjali Shrestha, PhD, Brittany Cooper, PhD¹, and Sarah Mariani², CPP

1. Washington State University, 2. Washington State Health Care Authority

Our Team



WASHINGTON STATE
UNIVERSITY

Washington State
Health Care Authority



Brittany Cooper,
PhD
Associate Professor
Dept. of Human
Development
Washington State
University



Clara Hill, MPH
Research Associate
Dept. of Human
Development
Washington State
University



Gitanjali Shrestha,
PhD
Associate Professor
Dept. of Human
Development
Washington State
University




Tyler Watson, PhD,
MPH
Former* Prevention
Research &
Evaluation Manager
Washington State
Health Care Authority



Jaymie Vandagriff,
MS, PhD
Prevention Research
& Evaluation
Manager
Washington State
Health Care
Authority

*Current role: Research Specialist,
Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board

First, a road map...



- ▶ Cover Workshop Objectives
- ▶ Description of the Community Prevention Wellness Initiative
- ▶ Description of the Health Equity Evaluation
- ▶ Brief review of results of the Health Equity Evaluation
- ▶ Discussion and Q&A

Workshop Objectives

- ▶ Receive an overview of a community coalition model in Washington State
- ▶ Discuss the design of a health equity focused evaluation, limitations, and improvements
- ▶ Reflect upon results, implications, and presentation of the evaluation
- ▶ Discuss how results were used by state-level funders and how similar work might be used



Creating an Action Item

We have influence over about 15% of a project; 85% is controlled by context, organizational structures, etc.

How would you use your 15% to drive health equity work in your corner of the world?

Simmer on this, we'll discuss at the end.

Warm Up

What does health equity look like in the context of your work?


Community Prevention and Wellness Initiative

Funded by the Washington State Health Care Authority, Division of
Behavioral Health and Recovery (HCA / DBHR)

The Washington State CPWI Model



Washington State Prevention Planning Framework



Adapted from SDRG, UW Communities That Care and SAMHSA Strategic Prevention Framework

CPWI Timeline: 95 Communities

2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022

Cohort 1, 19 communities

Cohort 2, 13 communities

Cohort 3, 16 communities

Cohort 4, 6 communities

Cohort 5, 6 communities

Cohort 6, 17 comms.

Cohort 7, 18 communities →



CPWI is Working

CPWI effectively reduces certain substance use outcomes and related risk factors.

COMMUNITY PREVENTION AND WELLNESS
INITIATIVE: IMPACT OVER TIME
TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 8
JUNE 2019

Community Prevention and Wellness Initiative:

RE-AIM Report

Cohorts 1-5, Technical Report 9

June 2019

Community Prevention and Wellness Initiative

Developmental Trend Analysis

Technical Report No. 11

June 23rd, 2020

Prepared by:
Gitanjali Shrestha, M.
IMPACT Research Lab
Washington State Un

Prepared by:
Gitanjali Shrestha, MA, Br
& Garrett Jenkins, M.S.
IMPACT Research Lab
Washington State Univers

Prepared by:
Gitanjali Shrestha, PhD, B
& Laura Hill, PhD
IMPACT Research Lab
Washington State Univers

Community Prevention and Wellness Initiative: Community Report

Technical Report No. 13
April 9, 2021



Prepared by:
Gitanjali Shrestha, PhD, Elizabeth Weybright, PhD, & Brittany Cooper, PhD
IMPACT Research Lab
Washington State University



Evaluation Purpose

We know CPWI is effective, but it was time to examine *how* CPWI is working. Is CPWI working equally well for minoritized groups within communities?

How is CPWI addressing health inequities, and how might evaluations of CPWI assist in advancing equity in prevention?

Questions so far?




Describing the Health Equity Evaluation

Expansion of the RE-AIM Framework

Recreated from:


Shelton, R. C., Chambers, D. A., & Glasgow, R. E. (2020). An extension of RE-AIM to enhance sustainability: addressing dynamic context and promoting health equity over time. *Frontiers in public health*, 8, 134.

<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00134/full>



Culturally Responsive Equitable Evaluation

Adapted from: Hood, S. R., Hopson, and K. Kirkham. (2015) Culturally Responsive Evaluation: Theory, Practice, and Future Implications. In K. E. Newcomer, H. P. Hatry, & J. S. Wholey (Eds.) *Handbook of Practical Program Evaluation*. (pp. 281-317). NJ: John Wiley & Sons.



Evaluation Aims




1) What are **positive norms, outcomes, and protective factors** in CPWI communities?



2) What are **differences in teens' substance use** based on marginalized identities / status?



3) Is the effectiveness of CPWI **moderated by marginalized identity / status?**



5) **To describe the health equity promotion efforts** and to gather information relevant for incorporating health equity in the CPWI process.



Think, Pair, Share

- What frameworks do you and / or your organization use?
- What are similarities and differences between those frameworks and what we used?
- What would you say is missed by using these frameworks (RE-AIM and CREE)? What could be enhanced?

Evaluation Results and Implications

Quantitative Results

Evaluation Aims

RE-AIM Dimension



1) What are **positive norms, outcomes, and protective factors** in CPWI communities?




2) What are **differences in teens' substance use** based on marginalized identities / status?



3) Is the effectiveness of CPWI is **moderated by marginalized identity / status**?



Prevalence Rates of Protective Factors Across Subgroups in Healthy Youth Survey 2021



Heat Matrix Legend:
A: Race & Ethnicity

B: Sexual Orientation

C: Gender Identity

1: Substance Use Protective Factors

2: Social and Mental Health Protective Factors


Color gradient is purple (low) to green (high)



SCAN ME


Insight | Mental Health Protective Factors

- **Across all racial and ethnic groups**
 - **youth did not report a plan for suicide attempt in the past year**
 - Hispanic or Latino/a/x youth reported at least planning, and American Indian or Alaskan Native youth the most.
- **However,**
 - **bother from uncontrolled worrying in the past two weeks** was more common
 - Black or African American youth reported the least worry, and Multiracial students the most.




Insight | Mental Health Protective Factors

Compared to **heterosexual** peers, **gay or lesbian, bisexual, questioning, and those who responded “something else fits better”** reported...



Insight | Mental Health Protective Factors

Compared to **cisgender male and female peers**, **transgender, questioning, those who responded “something else fits better”** reported...



30% less hope

10% less adults to turn to

32% more planned to attempt suicide


39% more depressive feelings

34% less uncontrolled worrying

The background features a network of stylized human figures, each enclosed in a circular frame. These figures are interconnected by thin, light-colored lines, creating a web-like structure. The overall color palette is soft and muted, with shades of light blue, teal, and pale yellow. The text 'Qualitative Results' is centered over this network.

Qualitative Results

Evaluation Aim




5) To describe the health equity promotion efforts and identify:

- Success stories,
- Facilitators,
- Barriers / challenges, and
- Other information

relevant for incorporating health equity in the CPWI process.

RE-AIM Dimensions

Adoption, Implementation, and Maintenance



Key Themes from Coordinator Interviews

Context

- Coordinators' expertise, lack thereof & positionality
- Coalition processes and membership
- Local meaning of diversity

Facilitators

- Adaptation of materials, processes etc.
- Community buy-in
- Health equity conversations

Barriers

- Resource constraints
- Lower community capacity and readiness
- Language and cultural barriers

Coalition Needs

- Spending flexibility and un-siloed funding (substance use or mental health)
- Accessible training and capacity building
- Material support

Context

“Be
was
ge
exp
the
tha
like

“Yo
Be
you’
the
to ac
ju

“Th
ser
prov
whet
what
hav

Barriers

Coalition Needs

“I would just say engaging with different communities a little differently would be nice. It seems very **top-down** and it’s very forced. And anything being forced just makes people more **resistant**... And there are real **disparities**. What I mean is that prescribed top-down, ‘here’s a solution you must follow’ is not going to work.”

Summary of Results



Quantitative

- ▶ Favorable findings regarding substance non-use outcomes and related protective factors
- ▶ Concerns regarding mental health factors for all Washington youth
- ▶ Social protective factors for gender and sexual minority youth require additional support.



Qualitative

- ▶ Coordinators' expertise and positionality influence how they support coalitions
- ▶ Relationships can make or break health equity and prevention work
- ▶ Barriers include how funds and resources are used, community buy-in and readiness, and "selling" prevention (e.g., framing conversations)
- ▶ Coalitions can be supported with increased flexibility, access to training/education, and guidance on incorporating health equity more explicitly into work

Summary of Results



Social and mental health outcomes among all Washington youth require additional supports as youth report fewer protective factors in these domains. **Gender and sexual minority youth report even fewer social and mental health protective factors than their peers.**



Given the importance of Coalition Coordinators' expertise and positionality, **leveraging technical assistance to increase their understanding, capacity, and readiness**—to incorporate health equity into their work and to educate and engage coalition and community members—**would help to fill gaps in prevention among gender and sexual minority youth.**



Think, Pair, Share

We are trying to be mindful of how we present results. We want to reduce bias and avoid perpetuating disparities we see.

Ex, how we explain race/ethnicity is not a risk factor itself, it's a proxy.

Do you have any examples of how sharing results was done well? Or not so well? What should we keep in mind?

Open Discussion and Q & A



- ▶ What was helpful or not helpful about this evaluation?
- ▶ How might this evaluation support others' health equity evaluations or promotion?
- ▶ How can we avoid inadvertently perpetuating inequities and biases as we present these results and methods?
- ▶ How can we improve our presentation to appropriately call out inequities for effective action?

HOW THIS ALIGNS WITH CURRENT GUIDANCE



Additional Resources:

- ▶ Boyd, R. C. et al. (2023) Strategic Directions in Preventive Intervention Research to Advance Health Equity. *Prevention Science*.
- ▶ Biglan, A., Prinz, R. J., & Fishbein, D. (2023). Prevention Science and Health Equity: A Comprehensive Framework for Preventing Health Inequities and Disparities Associated with Race, Ethnicity, and Social Class. *Prevention Science*.
- ▶ <https://preventionresearch.org/advocacy/advocacy-for-health-equity-in-prevention-science/>


Goal 1: Promote Health Equity in Prevention Science

1. Promote etiological and intervention research addressing health equity.
2. Promote the equitable inclusion of diverse groups in etiological and intervention research, in terms of role (funder, researcher, practitioners), race/ethnicity, international work, and methodological expertise (quantitative and qualitative).
3. Promote research to develop multilevel and multi-sectorial interventions that address the micro-, meso-, and macro-level social determinants of disparities and structural oppressions and develop principles for their implementation.

Action Item: What's your 15%?

We have influence over about 15% of a project, 85% is controlled by context, organizational structures, etc.

How would you use your 15% to drive health equity work in your corner of the world?



For more information:

- <https://www.liberatingstructures.com/7-15-solutions/>
- <https://bit.ly/4bXoYbd>

A close-up photograph of several hands of different skin tones (light, medium, and dark) interlocking in a supportive grip. The hands are positioned around the central text, creating a sense of unity and care. The background is a soft, neutral color.

Thank you!

Jordan.Newburg@wsu.edu

Konul.Karimova@wsu.edu

Heather.Terral@wsu.edu

GShrestha@wsu.edu